Effects of hyaluronic acid (Hyalonect) on callus formation in rabbits
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Objective: Bone fragment and graft stabilization are important during reconstructive surgery of cases with comminuted fractures and bone defects. We examined the effect of Hyalonect surgical mesh on the healing dynamics of metaphyseal bone defects created in rabbit tibiae.

Methods: Approximately 5-mm defects were created on the anterior aspect of the proximal tibial metaphysis of 80 male rabbits. The rabbits were randomly assigned to four groups: Group I, bone defects left alone (control group); Group II, bone defect covered with Hyalonect; Group III, bone defect filled with allograft; and Group IV, bone defect filled with allograft and covered with Hyalonect.

Results: No significant histological differences were noted between Groups II and III or Groups III and IV at 3 and 6 weeks. At 3 weeks, Groups II, III, and IV had significantly better healing than Group I (p<0.05). In addition, Group IV showed significantly better healing than Group II at 3 and 6 weeks. At 6 weeks, only Group IV showed better healing than Group I (p<0.05). Radiologically, Groups II, III, and IV showed better healing than Group I at 3 and 6 weeks (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Hyalonect application and bone grafting significantly accelerated the healing process when used alone or together. Hyalonect application along with bone grafting resulted in better early radiological healing than bone grafting alone.
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Bone defects and comminuted fractures with periosteal loss are common in orthopedic trauma cases. The periosteum is critical for bone regeneration after injury because it guarantees a supply of blood and osteogenic progenitor cells to the underlying cortical bone.[1] Conventional strategies for the periosteal repair often require the use of biological tissues, protein-based glues, or synthetic membranes;[1,2] however, these materials are not ideal for this purpose. A natural polymer matrix consisting of type-1 collagen can provide an excellent environment...
for osteoinduction and osteogenesis; however, it has a low mechanical modulus and cannot provide sufficient structural cellular support.\[3,4\] Naturally produced ceramics such as corals have good biocompatibility and appropriate mechanical properties, but the high dissolution rate has limited the clinical application of coralline calcium carbonate, especially when high load-bearing capacity is required.\[1,5\] The resorption rate of bioactive inorganic materials such as glass and bioceramics can be modified with crystalline hyaluronic acid (HA), and this effect may persist for years following implantation.\[5\] Biological polymers such as collagen have the potential risks of immunogenic reactions and disease transmission.\[5,6\] In addition, there are concerns such as difficult sourcing, poor handling, and weak mechanical properties.\[6\] Relative to bone, the mechanical properties of the current composites are poor.\[6\]

Recent studies have demonstrated that HA aids in both soft tissue and bone regeneration.\[1,2,11,12\] HA delays or decreases granulation tissue development.\[13\] HA likely increases cell migration, proliferation, and differentiation at the operative site, and enhances extracellular matrix organization.\[1,2,11,14,15\] Furthermore, it stimulates newly formed capillaries surrounding subcutaneous implants.\[13\] When in close contact with bone, HA participates in bone morphogenesis\[16\] and the early osteogenic events,\[17\] modulating the effects of several cytokines and growth factors.\[18,19\] HA also induces bone similar to osteogenic substances such as calcitonin and bone morphogenic protein.\[8\] In addition, it binds proteins crucial for wound healing such as fibrinogen, fibrin, fibronectin, and collagen.\[20\]

Recently, Hyalonect® (Fidia Farmaceutici, Italy) was developed for use in orthopedics. Hyalonect is a knitted mesh composed of HYAFF®, a naturally occurring benzyl ester of HA, which is a constituent of the extracellular matrix. Hyalonect is a resorbable, suturable, biocompatible mesh that can be used as a periosteal substitute.\[1\] It may be fixed to the operative site using sutures.

Considering the osteogenic and remodeling properties of HA, we hypothesized that the bone-healing rate of the allograft covered with Hyalonect would be augmented. Therefore, we aimed to compare the bone-healing rate of the allograft covered with Hyalonect and allograft alone in an experimental tibial defect model, both radiologically and histologically.

Materials and methods
Eighty adult male (8–10 months) white New Zealand rabbits weighing 2000–3000 g were included in the study. We obtained approval from the Ethics Committee for the study. The rabbits were handled according to the guidelines of the Ethics Committee for animals used in experimental studies.

For preparing bone grafts, tibiae were harvested from two rabbits. The harvested grafts were stored in double plastic containers used for bone banking at ~80°C. After 3 weeks, bone grafts were thawed before soft tissues and cartilage were removed. Using the finest grater in a standard bone mill, the graft was milled to 5-mm chips. Most cortical bone fragments were separated during the milling process. The processed graft was used as an allograft.

The rabbits were randomly assigned to four groups of 20 rabbits each: Group I, a bone defect was created and left to heal by themselves (control group). Group II, the cavities were covered with Hyalonect. Group III, the cavities were filled with allograft. Group IV, the cavities were filled with allograft and covered with Hyalonect. Ten rabbits in each group were sacrificed after 3 and 6 weeks.

First, a 22G catheter was inserted in the lateral auricular vein of each rabbit. Anesthesia was then induced by injecting 10 mg/kg of propofol. Intraoperatively, a 10-mg/ml propofol solution was infused at the rate of 30 ml/h as indicated. For creating the bone deformity, a 5-mm burr was passed through the whole cortical bone. A motor with a low revolution-per-minute value was used for cavity creation. Saline irrigation was used to prevent thermal bone necrosis during. The same burr was used to create 5-mm cavities in the anterior of the proximal tibial metaphysis (Figure 1). The cavities were filled with chips allograft. Hyalonect was cut according
to cavity size and sutured to the surrounding soft tissues around with 3–0 coated Vicryl (Figure 2). Afterward, the skin was closed with 2–0 silk. Postoperatively, the wound dressings were changed for 1 week. The rabbits were examined daily for wound healing and complications, and they were administered the same diet. At 3 and 6 weeks, 10 rabbits from each group were sacrificed using high-dose sodium phenobarbital and bone healing was radiologically and histologically assessed.

Bone segments were removed, cut into 5-μm-thick cross-sections, fixed in formalin, decalcified with acid, and embedded in paraffin wax. After the sections were stained with hematoxylin–eosin and van Gieson–Hansen stains, they were examined under a light microscope by the same pathologist (Figure 3). Emery’s histopathological healing criteria were used for histopathological evaluation\(^{[21]}\) (Table 1).

Radiographs of the tibia were obtained to evaluate new bone formation in the defects (Figure 4) using the scoring system developed by Yasko et al. (Table 2).\(^{[22]}\)

Kruskal–Wallis variant analyses were used to identify differences among the group scores. Mann–Whitney U-tests were then used to identify significant differences. The Wilcoxon rank test was used to detect significant differences within each group. The level of statistical significance was set at \(p<0.05\). The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 10.0.1.

**Results**

**Histopathological Evaluation** at 3 weeks showed better healing in Groups II, III, and IV than in Group I \((p<0.05)\). Group IV showed better healing than Group II \((p<0.05)\). No significant differences were found between Groups II and III or between Groups III and IV \((p>0.05)\). At 6 weeks, a significant difference remained between Groups I and IV \((p<0.05)\). Group IV showed better healing than Group II \((p<0.05)\). No significant differences were found between Groups I and II, between Groups I and III, between Groups II and III, or between Groups III and IV \((p>0.05)\) (Table 3).

**Radiological Evaluation** at 3 weeks showed better healing in Groups II, III, and IV than in Group I \((p<0.05)\). No significant differences were found between Groups II and III or between Groups II and IV \((p>0.05)\). Better radiological healing was observed in Group IV than in Group III \((p<0.05)\). At 6 weeks, Groups II, III,
and IV showed better radiological healing than Group I (p<0.05). No significant differences were found between Groups II and III, between Groups II and IV, or between Groups III and IV (p>0.05) (Table 4).

Discussion

The structural and functional integrity of the periosteum profoundly influences subsequent osseous regeneration. The periosteum is a membrane tightly bound to the bone surface. It is composed of fibrous and elastic tissues and has blood vessels containing osteoblasts and progenitor cells. The periosteum can be destroyed by moderate and severe trauma to the bone. It also mechanically contributes to the resistance to fractures and is critical in post-traumatic bone regeneration.[1,23] A periosteal defect may lead to the dispersion of engrafted bone substitutes or favor the adhesion of adjacent soft tissues to the underlying bone. Consequently, graft stability is compromised and pain may result. In addition, in comminuted fracture cases, it is frequently necessary to maintain the graft or bone fragments in position.[1] Hyalonect is a membrane substitute that was designed to participate in periosteal regeneration.[1,23] Rhodes et al.[1] reported that Hyalonect is capable of restoring the function of damaged connective tissues such as the periosteum without interfering with the natural tissue repair process. The current results showed that Hyalonect and grafting significantly enhance the healing process when used alone or together. The use of both Hyalonect and grafting together resulted in better early radiological healing than bone grafting alone.

Aslan et al. compared the effects of autologous bone grafting with or without HA in a rabbit tibia defect model and reported that HA requires an osteoconductive scaffold to be effective.[2] The addition of HA led to higher bone formation scores at each time period in their study.[2] Conversely, Oakes et al.[23] found no signs of radiological healing, enchondral ossification, and only minimal periosteal ossification of defects treated solely with HA in a rat femoral defect model. Maus et al. reported similar findings in a sheep model.[24] In the present study, Hyalonect was applied to the defects in group

| Table 1. Emery’s histological healing criteria. |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| Score (points) | Tissue present |
| 0 | Empty cavity |
| 1 | Fibrous tissue only |
| 2 | More fibrous tissue than fibrocartilage |
| 3 | More fibrocartilage than fibrous tissue |
| 4 | Fibrocartilage only |
| 5 | More fibrocartilage than bone |
| 6 | More bone than fibrocartilage |
| 7 | Bone only |

| Table 2. Radiological healing criteria. |
|---------------------|------------------------|
| Score | Radiological appearance |
| 0 | No callus |
| 1 | New bone fills <25% of the defect |
| 2 | New bone fills 25%–50% of the defect |
| 3 | New bone fills 50%–75% of the defect |
| 4 | New bone fills >75% of the defect |
| 5 | New bone is a solid contiguous mass that fills the entire defect |

Fig. 4. (a) Radiological appearance at 3 weeks of the defect covered with Hyalonect. (b) Radiological appearance at 6 weeks of the defect filled with graft. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.aott.org.tr]
II, which showed better healing than group I. Moreover, when a graft and Hyalonect were both applied, the callus formation was better in group I than in the other groups at 3 weeks.

Several authors have suggested that application of HA is beneficial when it is used as a structured scaffold, in the form of HA sponges (HYAFF 11) or in combination with osteoconductive biomaterials such as hydroxyapatite. On the other hand, the osseointegration of bone substitutes is reported to depend on the pore size of the substitutes. In the current study we applied HA as a scaffold. This scaffold is composed of HYAFF, a benzyl ester of HA. As a scaffold, it allows for rapid cell colonization and neovascularization at the application site.

Previous studies have examined the effects of various compounds with different molecular weights on osteogenesis. In one study, low-molecular-weight HA accelerated osteogenesis in vitro in a rat bone marrow ablation model. In another study, however, high-molecular-weight HA increased bone formation compared with untreated controls. Therefore, the optimal molecular weight of HA is not clear. The Hyalonect membrane used in the current study was constructed from fiber of HYAFF 11, in which the carboxyl groups of the D-glucuronic acid units of HA are completely esterified with benzyl groups. HYAFF 11 is one of the most analyzed HYAFF polymers, from both the physicochemical and biological viewpoints. They are produced starting from HA of about 200000 Daltons.

In the present study, 8–10-month-old rabbits were used. The bone defects were made with the same burr to obtain similarly sized defects. The tibial defect, a standardized full-thickness defect that will not heal during specific extended observation periods, has been used successfully to evaluate bone regeneration in connection with different biomaterials. Compared with other experimental bone defects, it is a convenient model for studying bone regenerative materials because of its effective accessibility and the lack of fixation requirements.

Table 3. Statistical comparison of the histological results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (week)</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
<td>0.0160</td>
<td>0.0157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6242</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differences between groups are shown with p values.

Table 4. Statistical comparison of the radiological results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (week)</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differences between groups are shown with p values.
spontaneous healing. Therefore, the non-regeneration threshold of bone was investigated in various models to determine the critical-sized defect, i.e., the smallest intrasosseous wound in a specific bone and animal species that will not heal spontaneously during the lifetime of the animal.\(^{[31,35]}\)

In clinical practice, the repair of large bone defects is generally achieved using osteogenic fillers such as demineralized bone matrix often with mineral additives such as calcium sulfate for osteoconduction. Furthermore, synthetic graft substitutes can be used. The defect closure method is important for successful surgical repair. In addition, clinical and experimental data indicate that the periosteum plays an important role in bone healing and remodeling.\(^{[1]}\) Rhodes et al.\(^{[1]}\) used Hyalonect surgical mesh as a periosteal replacement scaffold in a canine humeral drill-hole model to limit the migration of various bone graft materials, including autologous corticocancellous bone fragments, demineralized bone matrix, and calcium sulfate putty. Histological studies showed that the mesh prevented extravasation of the materials from the bone defects and provided a contained environment for tissue healing. Rhodes et al. also showed that the mesh was consistently repopulated with viable host cells and prevented fibrous tissue penetration of the defects, allowing restoration of a new functional bone marrow environment.\(^{[3]}\) In the previous study, extravasation of the grafts covered with Hyalonect was not observed.

The current study has several limitations. First, the experimental time frame was not long enough. The results should have been evaluated at the 2nd, 3rd, 6th, 8th, 12th, and 24th week postoperatively to better observe the effect of Hyalonect on bone integration in a rabbit tibial defect. Second, further studies should be performed to compare Hyalonect with other bone substitutes.

In conclusion, Hyalonect is suitable for restoring tissue continuity whenever the periosteal membrane is structurally impaired or inadequate. The results show that Hyalonect or grafting significantly speeds the healing process. The use of both Hyalonect and grafting together resulted in better early radiological healing than bone grafting alone, especially in the short term (at 3 weeks).
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